Court of Appeal File No.:	
Court File No	CV-23-00704623-00CL

ONTARIO COURT OF APPEAL FOR ONTARIO

BETWEEN:

VECTOR FINANCIAL SERVICES LIMITED

Applicant (Respondent)

- and -

33 HAWARDEN CRESCENT INC. and 35 HAWARDEN CRESCENT INC.

Respondents (Appellants)

NOTICE OF APPEAL

THE APPELLANTS APPEAL to the Ontario Court of Appeal from the Order of the Honorable Justice W.D. Black of the Superior Court of Justice ("**Motion Judge**") made at Toronto, Ontario dated March 19, 2024 (the "**Order**"), together with the Motion Judge's order denying the Appellants' request for an adjournment on March 15, 2024 (the "**Adjournment Order**" and together, the Orders).

THE APPELLANTS ASK that the Orders be set aside, and that an Order be granted as follows:

- a) permitting the Appellants to redeem the Vector Mortgage (defined below);
- b) discharging the Receiver;

- c) awarding the Appellants their costs of the appeal and the proceedings below; and
- d) such further and other relief as this Honourable Court may deem just.

THE GROUNDS OF APPEAL:

- 1. The Appellants, 33 Hawarden Crescent Inc. and 35 Hawarden Crescent Inc. (collectively the "Companies"), respectively own the real properties located at 33 Hawarden Crescent and 35 Hawarden Crescent, both in Toronto, Ontario (collectively the "Real Property").
- 2. The Real Property was encumbered with two mortgages (the "Mortgages"), the first in favour of Vector Financial Services Inc. ("Vector" and the "Vector Mortgage") and the second in favour of Rupinder Bamra ("Bamra" and the "Bamra Mortgage").
- 3. The Companies had also raised various funds from a group of individual investors (the "Investor Group").
- 4. The Companies sought to develop the Real Property, including by severing the Real Property into several lots, for the purpose of maximizing its value. To that end, the Companies filed a severance application with the City of Toronto on or about August 31, 2023. A hearing before the Committee of Adjustments was scheduled to take place November 29, 2023.
- 5. On October 25, 2023, Vector obtained an order (the "Receivership Order") appointing Pollard & Associates Inc. as receiver (in such capacity, the "Receiver") over the Companies' assets, undertakings and property, including the Real Property.
- 6. Following its appointment, the Receiver did not take steps to further the severance application, despite the potential that it would increase the value of the Real Property.
- 7. The Companies repeatedly advised the Receiver of their intention to redeem the Vector

Mortgage. The Companies sought information regarding the sales process, including the outside date of any transaction, for the purpose of ensuring that they could redeem prior to any sale of the Real Property. The Receiver failed to provide this information.

- 8. The Receiver entered into an agreement of purchase and sale (the "Gott APA") with Gott Upper Canada Inc. ("Gott"). At the time it entered into the Gott APA, Gott was aware of the Companies' outstanding intention and effort to redeem the Vector Mortgage.
- 9. The transaction contemplated by the Gott APA (the "Gott Transaction") will result in insufficient proceeds to repay the Vector Mortgage, and will result in the Bamra Mortgage being discharged without any repayment whatsoever.
- 10. While the Receiver was marketing the Real Property for sale, the Companies sought to secure financing to support their efforts to redeem. As of the date of this notice of appeal, they have secured financing of over \$10 million from various lenders. The Companies will bring a motion to introduce fresh evidence as to the current status of their ability to redeem the Vector Mortgage.
- 11. In addition, the Companies secured Bamra's consent, as second mortgagee, to the Companies' proposed refinancing.
- 12. The Receiver brought a motion for an approval and vesting order in respect of the Gott Transaction. The motion was scheduled to be heard on March 15, 2024, and the Gott Transaction was scheduled to close on March 26, 2024
- 13. The Companies opposed the Receiver's motion and brought their own cross-motion to (a) redeem the Vector Mortgage, and (b) discharge the Receiver.

- 14. Prior to the hearing, the Companies made a "with prejudice" offer to adjourn the hearing. The Receiver did not accept this offer.
- 15. At the hearing on March 15, 2024, the Companies sought an adjournment to, amongst other things, carry out cross-examinations on the affidavit filed by the principal of Gott. The Companies proposed that the hearing be adjourned until April 12, 2024, or in the alternative until March 26, 2024, the proposed closing date of the Gott Transaction.
- 16. The Motion Judge denied the Companies' adjournment request on March 15, 2024.
- 17. On March 19, 2024, the Motion Judge released an endorsement granting the Order, which approved the Gott Transaction and dismissed the Companies' cross-motion.
- 18. His Honour erred in fact and/or law or, alternatively, in the exercise of his discretion, when he denied the Companies' adjournment request, granted the approval and vesting order and dismissed the Companies' cross-motion. His Honour committed reviewable errors in that he:
 - a. Erred in failing to properly consider the Companies' adjournment request and failed to give sufficient reasons in denying the Companies' adjournment request;
 - b. Erred in failing to properly consider appellate authority, as laid out in Rose-Isli Corp.
 v. Smith, 2023 ONCA 548, regarding the balancing analysis of the right to redeem against a receiver's sales process;
 - c. Erred in failing to give proper weight to the fact that redemption should always be preferred when there is no prejudice or evidence of prejudice to the integrity of the sale process and the purchaser will suffer no damages;

- d. Ignored, misapprehended or gave insufficient weight to the Companies' evidence regarding the value of the Real Property;
- e. Ignored, misapprehended or gave insufficient weight to the Companies' ability and/or right to redeem the Real Property;
- f. Ignored, misapprehended or gave insufficient weight to the Receiver's failure to advise the Companies of the sale milestones, including the potential prejudice caused by such failure to the Companies and Bamra's interest in the Real Property; and
- g. Erred in his application of the *Soundair* principles, in particular by failing to properly consider (i) the effect of the Receiver's failure to communicate sale milestones; (ii) whether the Receiver made sufficient efforts to obtain the best price, and (iii) the economic interests of Bamra and the Investor Group;
- 19. The Companies have a right of appeal pursuant to sections 193(a), (b) and (c) of the *Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act*, RSC 1985, c B-3 ("*BIA*");
- 20. In the alternative, the Companies seek leave to appeal pursuant to section 193(e) of the BIA. Leave to appeal should be granted in this case because:
 - a. the points raised are of significance to the proceeding itself, in that the Order has denied
 the Companies' right to redeem the first mortgage on the Real Property thereby
 preventing the Companies exit from receivership;
 - b. the points raised are of general importance to the practice of bankruptcy/insolvency;
 - c. the proposed appeal is prima facie meritorious;

d. the appeal will not unduly hinder the progress of the receivership proceedings; and

e. the Order appears to be contrary to law or involves an obvious error, which is highly

prejudicial to the Companies, and there is no other remedy.

21. The proposed appeal is not *prima facie* frivolous or vexatious, is not likely to unduly delay

the proceedings or to be overcome by them and rendered moot, and is not likely to add unduly or

disproportionately to the cost of the proceedings.

22. The Companies will also be bringing a motion to introduce fresh evidence. Such evidence

is necessary to deal fairly with the issues raised by the Companies.

THE BASIS OF THE APPELLATE COURT'S JURISDICTION IS:

23. Rule 31(1) of the BIA Rules;

24. In the alternative, the Companies seek leave to appeal, pursuant to Rule 31(2) of the BIA Rules;

25. Sections 183(2) and 193 (a), (b), (c) and (e) of the BIA;

26. Rule 61 of the Rules of Civil Procedure, R.R.O. 1990, Reg. 194;

27. Section 6(1) and 134 of the Courts of Justice Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.4; and

28. The Order appealed from is a final order of a Judge of the Superior Court of Justice;

March 25, 2024

Obaidul Hoque (LSO # 67791O)

Direct: 647-794-7079

Fax: 647-794-7039

E-mail: obaidul@owslaw.ca

Lawyer for the Appellants

6

TO: SERVICE LIST

33 HAWARDEN CRESCENT INC. and 35 HAWARDEN CRESCENT INC.

VECTOR FINANCIAL SERVICES LIMITED

Respondents

Appellant

ONTARIO COURT OF APPEAL FOR ONTARIO

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Obaidul Hoque

Barrister and Solicitor 5200 Yonge Street Toronto, ON, M2N 5P6 Tel: 647-794-7079

Fax: 647-794-7039

Email: obaidul@owslaw.ca

LSO #67791O

Lawyer for the Appellants

Court of Appeal F	ile NO
Court File No. CV	7-23-007 04623-00 CL

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE (COMMERCIAL LIST)

BETWEEN:

VECTOR FINANCIAL SERVICES LIMITED

Applicant/Respondent

- and -

33 HAWARDEN CRESCENT INC. and 35 HAWARDEN CRESCENT INC.

Respondents/Appellants

SERVICE LIST

As of March 25, 2024	
BLANEY MCMURTRY LLP	Timothy R. Dunn (LSO #34249I)
Barristers & Solicitors	(416) 597-4880
2 Queen Street East, Suite 1500	tdunn@blaney.com
Toronto ON M5C 3G5	
	Alexandra Teodorescu (LSO #63889D)
Lawyers for the Court-appointed Receiver,	(416) 596-4279
Pollard & Associates Inc.	ateodorescu@blaney.com
POLLARD & ASSOCIATES INC.	Angela Pollard
31 Wright St., Richmond Hill, ON	(905) 884-8191
L4C 4A2	akpollard@pollardandassoc.ca
Court-appointed Receiver	
GOWLING WLG (CANADA) LLP	Thomas Gertner (LSO #67756S)
Barristers and Solicitors	(416) 369-4618

G !: 1600 F! : G : 1! P!	
Suite 1600, First Canadian Place	thomas.gertner@gowlingwlg.com
100 King Street West, Toronto, ON	
M5X 1G5	Katherine Yurkovich (LSO #80396R)
	(416) 862-4342
Lawyers for the Applicant	kate.yurkovich@gowlingwlg.com
AIRD & BERLIS LLP	Steven Graff
Brookfield Place	416-865-7726
181 Bay Street,	sgraff@airdberlis.com
Suite 1800	
Toronto, ON M5J 2T9	Adrienne Ho
	416-637-7980
OWS LAW	aho@airdberlis.com
5200 Yonge Street	<u></u>
Toronto, ON M2N 596	Obaidul Hoque
	647-619-6207
Lawyers for the Respondents	obaidul@owslaw.ca
	<u>ooaidui(wowsiaw.ca</u>
BRIDGE LAW	Christina Bowman
Professional Corporation	905-673-7222
7015 Tranmere Drive, Unit 13	cbowman@bridgelawyers.ca
<u> </u>	<u>coowman(a)oriageiawyers.ca</u>
Mississauga, ON L5S 1T7	
Lawrence for Duninder Domin	
Lawyers for Rupinder Bamra	
SAMANTHA LITCHEN	sammylitchen@gmail.com
8 Ardmore Road	
Toronto, ON M5P 1V3	
Toronto, Orv Wish 1 v s	
HARVEY KALLES REAL ESTATE LTD.	Jamie Erlick
2145 Avenue Road	Jamie erlick@gmail.com
Toronto, ON M5M 4B2	Janne.cinek@gman.com
TOTOIRO, OIN IVISIVI 4D2	
THE AGENCY, BROKERAGE	Benjamin Yellowlees
378 Fairlawn Avenue	ben, yellowlees@theagencyre.com)
	ben yenowiees(w)meagencyre.com)
Toronto, ON M5M 1T8	
WESTDALE PROPERTIES	Sarit Kind
35 Lesmill Rd.	10 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
	416-703-1877 (ext. 1059)
Toronto, ON M3B 2T3	saritk@westdaleproperties.com
Lawrence for the Durchaser	
Lawyers for the Purchaser	

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF BANKRUPTCY CANADA 151 Yonge St., Fourth Floor Toronto, ON M5C 2W7	osbservice-bsfservice@ised-isde.gc.ca
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Ontario Regional Office 120 Adelaide Street West Suite 400 Toronto, ON, M5H 1T1	AGC-PGC.Toronto-Tax-Fiscal@justice.gc.ca
MINISTRY OF FINANCE Ministry of the Attorney General (Ontario) Civil Law Division - Legal Services Branch 6-33 King St West Oshawa, Ontario, L1H 8H5	Steven Groeneveld (LSO # 45420I) Tel: 905 431 8380 Fax: 905 436 4510 Email: steven.groeneveld@ontario.ca
MINISTRY OF FINANCE Ministry of the Attorney General (Ontario) Collections Branch – Bankruptcy and Insolvency Unit 6-33 King St West Oshawa, Ontario, L1H 8H5	Email: insolvency.unit@ontario.ca Tel.: 1 866 668-8297

tdunn@blaney.com; ateodorescu@blaney.com; akpollard@pollardandassoc.ca; thomas.gertner@gowlingwlg.com; kate.yurkovich@gowlingwlg.com; sgraff@airdberlis.com; aho@airdberlis.com; obaidul@owslaw.ca; cbowman@bridgelawyers.ca; sammylitchen@gmail.com; Jamie.erlick@gmail.com; ben.yellowlees@theagencyre.com; saritk@westdaleproperties.com; osbservice-bsfservice@ised-isde.gc.ca; AGC-PGC.Toronto-Tax-Fiscal@justice.gc.ca; steven.groeneveld@ontario.ca; insolvency.unit@ontario.ca